SCREEN-SPACE FAR-FIELD AMBIENT OCCLUSION

Ville Timonen

CONTENTS

- Ambient Occlusion
- 2. Screen-Space Ambient Occlusion
- 3. Previous methods
- 4. Our method
- 5. Results

Components of Light

Direct light + Indirect light = Global lighting



Components of Light

- Physically no need to treat different light sources differently
- However different approximations/algorithms suitable for each type

- Ambient occlusion (AO) approximates lighting from uniformly lit surroundings
- Complements direct lighting from local light sources (lamps, etc)

Components of Light



+AO (indirect)

Components of Light

Direct











Definition of AO

- Screen consists of I-2M points (e.g. 1920×1080, FullHD)
- For each point, determine whether there is surrounding occluders

"Skylight"



Definition of AO

- In addition to on/off visibility (skylight), the surrounding geometry also reflects light (otherwise indoor scenes would be pitch black)
- Add a falloff term F that tapers off as a function of distance
 - F(0) = I, F(inf) = 0

$$A(\mathbf{p}, \vec{n}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} F(D(\mathbf{p}, \vec{\omega})) \, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\omega} d\vec{\omega}$$

Now need to know distance to occluder, D

CONTENTS

- Ambient Occlusion
- 2. Screen-Space Ambient Occlusion
- 3. Previous methods
- 4. Our method
- 5. Results

2 SCREEN-SPACE AMBIENT OCCLUSION

- Used in real-time graphics (computer games)
- Fast but uses incomplete geometry of the scene: a depth buffer
- Depth buffer (aka Z buffer) is a free by-product of a rendering pipeline
 - Used to determine visibility
 - Distance value (camera -> geometry) for each screen pixel

2 SCREEN-SPACE AMBIENT OCCLUSION Example depth buffer (dark = far, light = near)



2 SCREEN-SPACE AMBIENT OCCLUSION Example depth buffer (dark = far, light = near)



2 SCREEN-SPACE AMBIENT OCCLUSION Example depth buffer (dark = far, light = near)



2 SCREEN-SPACE AMBIENT OCCLUSION

Depth to Height

- Flip the depth buffer around and it becomes a height field
- We don't know what's behind the first layer
 - For now, let's assume it represents solid geometry
 - Can be linearly interpolated



CONTENTS

- Ambient Occlusion
- 2. Screen-Space Ambient Occlusion
- **3.** Previous methods
- 4. Our method 5. Results

2D integral to $K \times ID$

Instead of evaluating the 2D integral, decompose it into multiple
 (K) ID integrals



 I.e. from each receiver point (screen pixel), occluders are searched in K azimuthal directions

Marching along one of the K directions

- Take steps (S_n) of constant length along the direction
- Keep track of horizon angle



Marching along one of the K directions

- When the horizon (from P to S_n) exceeds the previous max, the new point (S_n) is visible to P



SI not visible

Marching along one of the K directions

- When the horizon (from P to S_n) exceeds the previous max, the new point (S_n) is visible to P



S2 visible

Marching along one of the K directions

- When the horizon (from P to S_n) exceeds the previous max, the new point (S_n) is visible to P



S3 visible

Marching along one of the K directions

- Integrate occlusion along the horizon angle piece-wise
- From a visible point to the next (tangent at $P \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3$)



• Rinse and repeat for each K and for each pixel

Marching along one of the K directions

- Let h_n be a vector from P to (a visible) S_n (we call this a horizon vector)
- Integrate occlusion along the horizon vectors piece-wise



The problem

- Falloff defined in world space: AO effect can span arbitrary lengths on screen
- Often need many steps per direction before contribution has fallen enough to be cut
- Cannot afford to take hundreds of samples per direction
- What to do?

Want this



• Takes 2 seconds/frame, way too slow

Sparser sampling farther from receiver



• Now it's fast enough, but some pixels hit occluders, some miss..

MIPMAP



• Got rid of the blockiness, but...

MIPMAP





MIPMAP

reference

• No longer artefacts, but systematic underocclusion

Error black = 20% white = 0%



CONTENTS

- Ambient Occlusion
- 2. Screen-Space Ambient Occlusion
- 3. Previous methods
- **4.** Our method 5
- 5. Results

Teaser





our method

reference

• Same number of samples as in MIPMAP, but significantly closer to truth

Error black = 20% white = 0%



Otherwise the same except the data used for sampling...

- MIPMAPs flatten the geometry
- Instead, we would like to retain is the silhouette of the geometry as seen from any receiver point
- Silhouette is formed by *local maxima* of the height field

• Let's start with that...

We generate an intermediate geometry proxy

- Traverse the height field in parallel lines, a step at a time
- Every B₀ steps (here B₀=3) we write out the highest value on the line



We generate an intermediate geometry proxy

- Recall that we split the 2D integral into K ID integrals
- Each of these should represent the entire sector (2*PI/K)
- So instead of sampling the maximum heights along one line, want to take average maximum height along the sector's width



We generate an intermediate geometry proxy

- Calculate running sums of the maximum heights
- Getting the average becomes (A[i₁]-A[i₀])/(i₁-i₀)



Multi-view

- Maximum heights represent silhouette only when the receiver is horizontal to the caster
- In addition, we can project maximum height along multiple viewing directions (left)



Multi-view

- This has an alternative interpretation: Intersections of the projections describe a convex hull of the geometry (right)
- Edges of the convex hull can be used as the endpoints (S_n) of the horizon vectors (h_n)



Multi-view

- Of particular interest is the case of 2 viewing directions
- The convex hull is reduced to a single point
- Can be used directly as the horizon vector end point $S_{\rm n}$



Level of detail

- We generate multiple resolutions of the projections
- Differ in the range the max is taken over of
- Combined by maxing higher resolutions
- Used when sampling farther from the receiver

CONTENTS

- Ambient Occlusion
- 2. Screen-Space Ambient Occlusion
- 3. Previous methods
- 4. Our method
- **5.** Results

5 RESULTS



Our method

Reference



5 RESULTS



 $e_A = 1.92\%, e_{<5\%} = 93.3\%$ $e_A = 1.27\%, e_{<5\%} = 98.5\%$

 $e_A=9.90\%, e_{<5\%}=38.9\%$

5 RESULTS

Table 1: Total render times of the far-field occlusion component

Method	7970 (OpenCL)	GTX 580 (CUDA)
$1280(+256) \times 720(+144), B_0 = 10:$		
Our, $K = 8 \times 2$	7.26 ms	12.0 ms
Our, $K = 16 \times 2$	13.3 ms	23.6 ms
Mipmap, $K = 16$	19.2 ms	17.7 ms
$1920(+384) \times 1080(+216), B_0 = 10:$		
Our, $K = 8 \times 2$	16.7 ms	29.4 ms
Our, $K = 16 \times 2$	31.6 ms	58.1 ms
Mipmap, $K = 16$	31.5 ms	37.9 ms

Roughly as fast as the MIPMAP method